

1 DEBRA W. YANG
United States Attorney
2 EDWARD M. ROBBINS, JR.
Assistant United States Attorney
3 Chief, Tax Division
DARWIN THOMAS
4 Assistant United States Attorney
Federal Building, Room 7211
5 300 North Los Angeles Street
Los Angeles, California 90012
6 Telephone: (213) 894-2400, Ex. 2740
Facsimile: (213) 894-0115

7 MICHAEL R. PAHL
8 Mn. Bar. No. 0234539
Trial Attorney, Tax Division
9 U.S. Department of Justice
Post Office Box 7238
10 Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
11 Telephone: (202) 514-6488
Facsimile: (202) 514-6770
12 Attorney for the United States

13 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
14 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 United States,

16 Plaintiff,

17
18
19 Anthony L. Hargis individually and
20 dba Anthony L. Hargis & Co.,

21 Defendants.
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No.

SACV04-00273 AHS (MLGx)

United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.

Complaint

1 **Complaint**

2 Plaintiff United States of America, for its complaint against defendants
3 Anthony L. Hargis and Anthony L. Hargis & Co (A.L.H.), states as follows:

4 **Jurisdiction and Venue**

5 1. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1340 and 1345
6 and 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402 and 7408.

7 2. This suit is brought under 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402 and 7408 to restrain and
8 enjoin Hargis from:

- 9 a. Engaging in activity subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6700,
10 including organizing or selling a plan or arrangement and making a
11 statement regarding the tax benefits of participating in the plan that
12 he knows or has reason to know is false or fraudulent as to any
13 material matter;
- 14 b. Engaging in conduct that substantially interferes with the proper
15 administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws through
16 the promotion of a false tax scheme.

17 3. This action has been requested by the Chief Counsel of the Internal
18 Revenue Service, a delegate of the Secretary of the Treasury, and commenced at
19 the direction of a delegate of the Attorney General under 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402 and
20 7408.

21 4. Hargis conducts business as Anthony L. Hargis & Co., an unincorporated
22 business entity with an office at 17220 Newhope Street, Suite 201, Fountain
23 Valley, California, within this judicial district.

24 5. On information and belief, Hargis resides in or around Fountain Valley,
25 California, within this judicial district.

26 6. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1396.

27 *United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.*

28 *Complaint*

Hargis's Warehouse Banking Scheme

7. Hargis promotes and markets a "warehouse banking scheme" over the Internet at www.anthonyhargis.com.

8. Hargis promotes and operates this warehouse banking scheme to aid his customers in evading federal taxes and in hiding their income, assets, expenditures, and identities from the IRS.

9. The homepage of this website describes various services offered by A.L.H., including "developing legal arguments to demonstrate the unconstitutionality of the Internal Revenue Code."

10. At the services section of the website entitled "income tax," potential customers are invited to read an essay entitled "'American Inquisition,' which purports to demonstrate the unconstitutionality of all INTERNAL TAXES."

11. The income tax link to the services section states that by "examining the debates in Congress in 1909, pertaining to the origin of the 16th Amendment, we find some half dozen reasons why the Income tax - and all INTERNAL taxes - are unconstitutional."

12. The services section entitled "private banking" states that the "most comprehensive and subtle means by which people are enslaved is effected by central banking. As long as we continue to use Federal Reserve Notes and Federal Reserve bank accounts, we continue to feed the bandits of mankind."

13. The website section entitled "Alternative" invites potential customers to open an A.L.H. bank account if "you want to boycott the pathological segment of society by denying the use of your resources (represented by your current cash)." This section also encourages potential customers to set up an A.L.H. bank account

United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.

Complaint

1 “[i]f you don’t want your assets to finance socialists and give-away programs” and
2 need “financial privacy.”

3 14. The website section entitled “Alternative” also describes how Hargis’s
4 warehouse banking scheme works. Potential customers are told that for a fee, they
5 can open a “current gold account,” which is a “numbered account in which a
6 customer has gold on deposit at Anthony L. Hargis & Co. (We also offer accounts
7 denominated in Federal Reserve units.)”

8 15. At this website section, customers seeking to set up an A.L.H. bank
9 account are instructed to deposit gold coins or federal reserve notes (dollars),
10 which, they are told, will be converted to grams of gold at the current exchange
11 rate.

12 16. At this website section, potential customers are told that once an A.L.H.
13 bank account is established, they can instruct their customers or employers to pay
14 A.L.H. directly for their goods and services: “continue submitting your invoices or
15 bills to your employer or customers as before, but with a notice to make checks
16 payable to [Anthony L. Hargis or A.L.H, or Hargis’s affiliate, Leon Steinhardt or
17 L.R.S.]. Your invoices will continue to carry your name, but the checks you will
18 receive will carry our name.”

19 17. At this website section, potential customers are also instructed that if
20 their customers or employers refuse to make checks payable to ALH alone, “an
21 acceptable alternative is [your name] or Anthony L. Hargis, etc.”

22 18. At this website section, potential customers are also informed that they
23 can use A.L.H. checks to pay their bills. Customers can do so by completing a
24 “transfer order (T.O) indicating how much and to whom the bill should be paid.

25 *United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.*

26 *Complaint*

1 Put the T.O. and the invoice in an unsealed, stamped envelope (addressed to the
2 payee) and deliver to A.L.H. & Co. for processing.”

3 19. At this website section, customers seeking to “open an account with a
4 fictitious name” are instructed to send A.L.H. a “signed statement that the
5 applicant is also known as (the fictitious name) and . . . that the individual(s)
6 controlling the account has (have) the authority to do so.

7 20. Under this scheme, A.L.H. bank customers give money to Hargis, who
8 puts all customers’ commingled money into accounts at commercial banks.

9 21. A.L.H.’s customers use the A.L.H. warehouse bank not only for their
10 personal banking needs, but for a variety of businesses including martial arts
11 instruction, lawn equipment sales and repair, chiropractic services, home and
12 building inspection, landscaping, car repair, real estate appraisal, real estate
13 management, software development, carpet cleaning, vitamin sales, and catering.

14 22. In order to serve its approximately 253 customers, A.L.H currently has
15 accounts in at least 9 commercial banks in California and Texas.

16 23. In 2001 alone, the year-end balance for A.L.H.’s deposits in these
17 commercial bank accounts was approximately \$7,174,498.

18 24. As stated in the website, the commercial bank accounts are not in the
19 bank customers’ names. Instead, the accounts are held in the names of Hargis and
20 associates who assist him in this scheme.

21 25. After opening accounts at commercial banks, Hargis’s associates sign
22 over blank checks to Hargis, who uses these signed checks to draw money from
23 the various commercial bank accounts to pay the warehouse bank customers’ bills.

24
25 *United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.*

26 *Complaint*

1 26. Under this scheme, once an account is established, A.L.H. customers
2 instruct their employers and customers to make checks payable not to them
3 personally but to A.L.H. or Hargis's associates.

4 27. Because A.L.H. customers can set up accounts using fictitious names,
5 the IRS is unable to readily identify A.L.H.'s customers or the money that they
6 have deposited into the bank. Because A.L.H.'s customers' identities are
7 concealed, the IRS cannot levy on A.L.H.'s customers' bank accounts to satisfy
8 their outstanding federal tax liabilities.

9 28. A.L.H. customers instruct their employers and customers to make
10 checks payable to A.L.H. or Hargis's affiliates for deposit in an A.L.H. account,
11 and thus do not deposit checks for their services in commercial accounts bearing
12 their name. Accordingly, the IRS is unable to readily identify A.L.H. customers'
13 sources of income.

14 29. For A.L.H.'s customers who operate businesses but do not file federal
15 income tax returns, the IRS is unable to determine their federal taxable income
16 because A.L.H. commingles customers' funds in various accounts and refuses to
17 provide account information to the IRS.

18 30. In addition, because A.L.H. customers' funds are commingled into
19 various accounts with Hargis and his associates having exclusive knowledge of
20 individual depositor information, the IRS is unable to determine the exact amount
21 individual A.L.H. customers have deposited in the bank.

22 31. Because A.L.H. customers can use an A.L.H. account to pay bills, the
23 IRS is unable to identify A.L.H. customers' expenditures.

24
25
26 *Complaint*

United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.

32. Because A.L.H. guarantees “financial privacy” to its customers and falsely asserts that the IRS does not have the right to summons the warehouse bank’s records, Hargis and his associates have refused to turn over the A.L.H. bank customer list or any other summoned information to the IRS. Thus, the IRS is unable to determine the income, assets, expenditures, or identities of A.L.H.’s customers.

33. Hargis knows or should know that in *Nat'l Commodity and Barter Assn./Nat'l Commodity Exch. v. United States*, 843 F.Supp. 655 (D.Colo. 1993), *aff'd*, 42 F.3d 1406 (10th Cir. 1994)(unpublished decision, available at 1994 WL 664970 (10th Cir. 1994)), *cert. denied*, 516 U.S. 807 (1995), the court held that a virtually identical warehouse bank was established to thwart enforcement of the tax laws and was subject to penalties under 26 U.S.C. § 6700.

Harm to the Government

34. Hargis and his associates have refused to turn over the ALH bank customer lists or any information sought by IRS summonses.

35. Based on information the IRS has received from commercial banks where Hargis holds some of his customers' funds, the IRS estimates that A.L.H. has at least 253 warehouse bank customers.

36. Of these 253 warehouse bank customers, the IRS has already identified 14 customers who have substantial unpaid federal tax debts, ranging from \$17,000 to \$411,000.

37. The IRS conservatively estimates that the total federal tax debt incurred by ALH's 14 identified customers alone is \$1,363,267, for an average federal tax debt of \$97,376 per customer.

United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.

Complaint

1 38. Based on an average debt of \$97,376 and a client base of 253
2 customers, the IRS conservatively estimates that the total tax debt of those
3 participating in Hargis's scheme to hide income and assets from the IRS is at least
4 \$24,636,128.

5 39. In addition to failing to pay their federal tax liabilities, Hargis and his
6 associates, as well as several identified bank customers, have failed to file federal
7 income tax returns when lawfully required to do so.

8 40. As noted above, the IRS has already identified 14 A.L.H. customers
9 who use A.L.H. bank accounts to hinder the IRS's ability to collect on their
10 estimated \$1,363,267 federal tax debt. This figure does not include the damage
11 caused by other A.L.H. customers who, after incurring substantial federal tax
12 liabilities, have used or will use the bank to hide their income, assets, and
13 expenditures from the IRS.

14 41. Because Hargis and his associates have refused to turn over the A.L.H.
15 customer list, the IRS will have to devote substantial resources to identifying
16 A.L.H's customers, some of whom may not be detected, and thus evade paying
17 federal tax, by the very "financial privacy" promised by this elaborate scheme.
18 Moreover, because Hargis continues to aggressively market the warehouse bank
19 worldwide over the Internet, the government's damages will increase
20 exponentially if Hargis is not enjoined quickly.

21 42. Hargis continues to promote this false and fraudulent scheme, even
22 after the IRS informed him that his warehouse bank is illegal and interferes with
23 the proper enforcement of the internal revenue laws.

24
25
26 *Complaint*

United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.

1 **Count I**

2 **(Injunction under 26 U.S.C. § 7408 for Violation of 26 U.S.C. § 6700)**

3 43. The United States incorporates by reference the allegations in
4 paragraphs 1 through 42.

5 44. 26 U.S.C. § 7408 authorizes a court to enjoin persons who have
6 engaged in any conduct subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6700 if the court
7 finds that injunctive relief is appropriate to prevent the recurrence of such conduct.

8 45. 26 U.S.C. § 6700 penalizes any person who organizes or sells a plan or
9 arrangement and makes, in connection with organizing or selling the plan or
10 arrangement, a statement regarding the excludibility of income or securing of
11 other tax benefit that the person knows or has reason to know is false or fraudulent
12 as to any material matter.

13 46. Hargis sells a fraudulent tax scheme. In selling this fraudulent tax
14 scheme, Hargis falsely promises customers that they can legally put their income
15 and assets beyond the reach of the IRS through the warehouse bank. Hargis
16 knows or has reason to know that his programs and program materials contain
17 false or fraudulent statements within the meaning of 26 U.S.C. § 6700.

18 47. If he is not enjoined from promoting the warehouse bank scheme
19 describe above, Hargis is likely to continue engaging in conduct subject to the §
20 6700 penalty and continue aiding his customers in hiding their assets and income
21 from the IRS.

22 **Count II**

23 **(Unlawful Interference with the Enforcement of the Internal Revenue Laws)**

24 48. The United States incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 47.

25 *United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.*

26 *Complaint*

1 49. Through the conduct described above, Hargis has engaged in conduct
2 that substantially interferes with the administration and enforcement of the internal
3 revenue laws. Even after being told by the IRS that he is engaging in conduct
4 subject to penalty, Hargis has refused to stop promoting the fraudulent warehouse
5 bank, conduct that substantially interferes with enforcement of the internal
6 revenue laws. Unless enjoined by this Court, Hargis is likely to continue to
7 engage in such conduct. Hargis's conduct is causing irreparable injury to the
8 United States, and the United States has no adequate remedy at law:

- 9 a. Hargis's conduct, unless enjoined, is likely to cause a substantial loss
10 of revenue to the United States Treasury. Unless he is enjoined, the
11 IRS will have to expend substantial time and resources to determine
12 the income, assets, and expenditures of A.L.H. customers who have
13 incurred substantial federal tax liabilities.
- 14 b. The identification of and determination of the income, assets, and
15 expenditures of A.L.H. customers with substantial federal tax
16 liabilities will place a serious burden on the IRS's resources. Even if
17 all of A.L.H.'s customers are identified and their income, assets, and
18 expenditures determined, the IRS may not be able to collect all taxes
19 and penalties due.
- 20 c. If Hargis is not enjoined, he likely will continue to engage in conduct
21 that substantially interferes with the enforcement of the internal
22 revenue laws.

23 WHEREFORE, plaintiff, the United States of America, respectfully prays
24 for the following:

25 A. That the Court find that Hargis has engaged in conduct subject to
26 penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6700, and that injunctive relief under 26 U.S.C. § 7408
27 is appropriate to prevent a recurrence of that conduct;

28 B. That this Court, under 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402 and 7408, enter a permanent
injunction prohibiting Hargis, individually and doing business as A.L.H., or

United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.

Complaint

1 through any other name or entity, and his representatives, agents, servants,
2 employees, attorneys, and those persons in active concert or participation with
3 him, from directly or indirectly:

- 4 a. Engaging in activity subject to penalty under 26 U.S.C. § 6700,
5 including organizing or selling a plan or arrangement and making a
6 statement regarding the tax benefits of participating in the plan that
7 he knows or has reason to know is false or fraudulent as to any
8 material matter; and
- 9 b. Engaging in conduct that substantially interferes with the proper
10 administration and enforcement of the internal revenue laws through
11 the promotion of a false tax scheme.

12 C. That this Court, under 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402 and 7408 enter an injunction
13 requiring Hargis to contact by mail (and also by e-mail, if an address is known) all
14 individuals who have purchased his abusive tax plan and inform those individuals
15 of the Court's findings concerning the falsity of Hargis's prior representations and
16 attach a copy of the permanent injunction against Hargis and his associates and
17 related entities;

18 D. That this Court, under 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402 and 7408 enter an injunction
19 requiring Hargis to produce to the United States any records in his possession or to
20 which he has access, identifying the persons who have purchased his abusive tax
21 plan, arrangement, or program (purchased either directly from him or from his
22 associates or related entities);

23 E. That this Court, under 26 U.S.C. §§ 7402 and 7408 enter an injunction
24 requiring Hargis and his representatives, agents, servants, employees, attorneys,
25 and those persons in active concert or participation with him, to remove from his
26 website all abusive tax scheme promotional materials and false commercial
27 speech, to display prominently on the first page of his website a complete copy of

28 *United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.*


Complaint

1 the Court's permanent injunction, and to maintain the website for one year with a
2 complete copy of the Court's permanent injunction so displayed throughout that
3 time;

4 F. That this Court order that the United States is permitted to engage in
5 post-judgment discovery to ensure compliance with the permanent injunction; and

6 G. That this Court grant the United States such other relief, including costs,
7 as is just and equitable.
8

9 DEBRA W. YANG
10 United States Attorney
11 EDWARD M. ROBBINS, JR.
12 Assistant United States Attorney
13 Chief, Tax Division
14 DARWIN THOMAS
15 Assistant United States Attorney
16 Federal Building, Room 7211
17 300 North Los Angeles Street
18 Los Angeles, California 90012
19 Telephone: (213) 894-2400
20 Facsimile: (213) 894-0115

21 
22 MICHAEL R. PAHL
23 Trial Attorney, Tax Division
24 U.S. Department of Justice
25 Post Office Box 7238
26 Ben Franklin Station
27 Washington, D.C. 20044
28 Telephone: (202) 514-6487
Facsimile: (202) 514-6770

Post Office Box 7238
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
Telephone: (202) 514-6488

United States v. Anthony L. Hargis et al.

Complaint